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Abstract

Patients with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) have an excess risk of certain gastrointestinal cancers. Much work has focused on colon cancer in IBD patients, but comparatively less is known about other more rare cancers. The European Crohn's and Colitis Organization established a pathogenesis workshop to review what is known about these cancers and formulate proposals for future studies to address the most important knowledge gaps. This article reviews the current state of knowledge about small bowel adenocarcinoma, ileo-anal pouch and rectal cuff cancer, and anal/perianal fistula cancers in IBD patients.

© 2013 European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases are strongly associated with carcinomas of the colorectum and, in patients with co-existing primary sclerosing cholangitis, with cholangiocarcinoma. The knowledge of these associations has provided impetus for researchers and clinicians to study the pathogenesis of those cancers, and to develop strategies for managing the elevated risk of those cancers in patients with IBD. In contrast, much less is known about associations between IBD and other more rare cancers of the lower intestinal tract.

We held two workshops to plan and develop a work program to review the current state of knowledge on small bowel adenocarcinoma, ileo-anal pouch and rectal cuff cancer, and anal/perianal fistula cancers in IBD patients. Authors worked together to review and summarize the literature and to identify the key knowledge gaps that could be filled through collaborative research through ECCO.

2. Small bowel adenocarcinoma in Crohn’s disease

2.1. Epidemiology

Small bowel malignancies represent 2% of all cancers.1,2 Adenocarcinoma accounts for 50% of small bowel malignancies, followed by neuroendocrine tumors, lymphoma and sarcoma.3 Since Ginzburg et al.4 first described the association of Crohn’s disease (CD) and small bowel adenocarcinoma (SBA) in 1956, several case reports, case series and some case-control studies have been reported. Patients affected with CD are at higher risk of developing SBA.5-20 Three meta-analyses have assessed the standardized incidence ratio (SIR) of SBA in patients with CD from population-based or referral center case series. They found that pooled SIRs were 27.1 (95% CI 14.9–49.2), 28.4 (95% confidence interval, 14.46–55.66), and 33.2 (15.9–60.9) respectively.20-22 One study, based upon a tertiary referral center, has found that the cumulative risk is 0.2% and 2.2% at 10 and 25 years since diagnosis, respectively.13,16

International case-control studies are advisable and the development of specific National and International Cancer Registries is to be encouraged.

2.2. Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of SBA in CD is poorly defined. Much of the current understanding of the molecular alterations involved in the development of neoplasia in IBD comes from studies of patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) who develop colorectal carcinoma, also considered to be valid in CD. SBA is usually found in inflammatory areas, which suggests that the sequence inflammation–dysplasia–carcinoma is valid.
2.2.1. The implication of histological patterns

In the majority of the reported cases of SBA in CD, there is no description of histological subtype or molecular alterations, rendering an insight on carcinogenic pathways difficult. SBA in CD shares some histological and molecular characteristics with colorectal carcinomas in UC. In a series of SBA published by Palascak-Juif et al., the rate of mucinous adenocarcinoma in CD was higher (30% vs 10%) and signet cell variety unique, when compared to small bowel adenocarcinoma de novo (Table 1). 16

2.2.2. Microsatellite instability

The data on microsatellite instability (MSI) in small bowel carcinomas are fewer than for colorectal cancer, with conflicting data published. One study reports the incidence of MSI as 20% 23. However, another study concludes that MSI is less common in CD than UC and this may reflect differences in cancer risk between these two forms of IBD. 24 Further studies examining the expression and the presence or absence of mutations in these genes may provide insight into the mechanisms underlying the development of microsatellite alterations in the setting of IBD.

2.2.3. c-K-ras mutations

Mutations of K-ras are accepted as common in colitis-associated colorectal neoplasia and can occur early in neoplastic progression. Rashid et al. found mutations in c-K-ras codons 12 and 13 in 43% of Crohn's associated with SBA. They also found that carcinomas with contiguous adenomas have the same c-K-ras mutation. Finally, they showed that small intestinal neoplasia is characterized by accumulation of multiple genetic alterations during progression through an adenoma–carcinoma sequence and an dysplasia–carcinoma sequence. 25

2.2.4. APC gene

In contrast to sporadic colorectal carcinoma, the allelic losses of 5q (APC gene) and DCC regions (deleted in colon cancer gene region on q18) are uncommon events in CD and sporadic SBA, suggesting that genetic pathways involved in carcinomas of the small intestine are not identical to the colorectum. 26,27

In conclusion, some of the available data indicate that the sequence inflammation–dysplasia–cancer might be involved in the pathogenesis of SBA. The rarity of this neoplasm renders relevant study of pathogenesis difficult.

2.3. Risk factors

Risk factors associated with SBA include CD, 2,5–7,9,12–14,16,18,20,28–31 celiac disease, hereditary gastrointestinal cancer syndromes, immunodeficiency states and autoimmune disorders, 2,32 alcohol, cigarette smoking, and dietary habits (red meat, smoked, and salt-cured foods). 29,33 The consumption of bread, pasta, rice, and sugar 33,34 have been also reported as correlated with SBA.

Risk factors for developing SBA in CD are less well defined, although several have been proposed:

- disease-related: distal jejunal/ileal localization, strictures and chronic penetrating disease, duration of disease
- patient-related: young age at diagnosis, male sex
- drug-related: corticosteroids, azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, anti-TNF-alpha agents
- surgery-related: small bowel bypass loops, strictureplasties, absence of resection
- environmental: occupational hazards/exposure to halogenated aromatic compounds with aliphatic amines, asbestos, and solvents.

All these factors have been variously proposed to have a carcinogenic potential. 2,5–7,9,12–14,16,18–20,28,30,31,35 Most are debated and results from single series are contrasting.

Concerning surgery, the role of strictureplasty in promoting SBA is unclear and not widely accepted. 36 The reason for SBA in strictureplasties could be due either to the development of carcinoma in an area of bowel previously treated by strictureplasty or to the potential for missing a malignancy at the time of strictureplasty. 36–38

2.4. Diagnosis

Early diagnosis is the key to achieve successful treatment of SBA in CD. Dosset et al. 39 were able to diagnose preoperatively only 3.1% of SBA. A more recent study was more optimistic, but preoperative diagnosis did not even reach 14% in CD patients. 40 Furthermore, nearly 30–35% of patients had metastatic disease (Stage IV) and 55% were found with positive nodes at diagnosis. 32,33,40

2.4.1. Symptoms

Symptoms of SBA are nonspecific 32 and are hard to distinguish from relapse of CD. 16,19,33,39,40 Authors agree that two indicators may guide physicians and raise suspicion of SBA: intestinal obstruction not relieved by medical management and abrupt onset with severe symptoms after a period of quiescent disease. 16,19,39–41

2.4.2. Imaging and endoscopy

Investigations also rarely succeed in differentiating active CD from SBA because strictures and masses are frequently seen in CD, due to its common complications. 19,32 Radiological investigations such as CT, MR and barium radiography can detect strictures and masses but do not allow sampling of suspect areas. 31 Similarly, small bowel capsule endoscopy does not allow tissue sampling and does not accurately localize and grade lesions. 42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Comparison between SBA in CD and SBA de novo. 9,13,43,51,56</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBA in CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at SBA diagnosis, years</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>M &gt; F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of CD before surgery</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBA diagnosis, years</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative diagnosis, %</td>
<td>3.1–13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization, %</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV at diagnosis, %</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signet ring cells, %</td>
<td>Up to 38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBA: small bowel adenocarcinoma; CD: Crohn’s disease; M: male; F: female; I: ileum; J: jejunum; M: mid small bowel.
Most SBAs are located in the terminal or distal ileum and may be reached by a colonoscope, allowing mucosal biopsy for accurate diagnosis. For more proximal lesions, double balloon enteroscopy represents a promising tool for small bowel examination in patients with CD suspected of SBA. It is particularly useful in established CD as adhesions may limit other examinations (i.e. single balloon enteroscopy). However, drawbacks must be taken into account: double balloon enteroscopy does not allow extra-intestinal assessment of the disease and requires extensive expertise and anesthesia; also, in a patient with stricturing active CD, there appears to be a higher risk of complications. If the surgeon suspects that a patient is at higher risk of SBA (i.e. for presenting symptoms and/or duration of CD), it is useful to perform an intraoperative histologic examination. Intraoperative enteroscopy may also be useful because it detects lesions inaccessible to conventional enteroscopy, undetected in more than half of the patients prior to surgery.

In conclusion, as early diagnosis is of critical significance for the survival of the patient, clinicians should consider exploratory laparotomy as a possible diagnostic and therapeutic approach in cases of CD patients with suspected SBA.

2.5. Treatment

Although there are very few data on the management of dysplasia, we encourage surgical resection whether low grade or high grade, when detected by endoscopic small bowel biopsies in areas of inflammation. Indeed, an association of dysplasia with synchronous SBA has been reported in surgical specimens compatible with a dysplasia–carcinoma sequence.

Similar to SBA de novo, surgery is the mainstay of treatment for SBA in CD, and is best performed as a radical resection. However, most SBAs in CD are diagnosed intraoperatively and half of the carcinomas are not even suspected at operation and are diagnosed only upon microscopic examination in some series. Early detection of SBA is therefore crucial to achieve radical treatment, and CD patients developing SBA commonly have a long history of repeated hospitalizations during which diagnosis of SBA was often overlooked and surgery was delayed.

Both Solem et al. and the GETAID suggested that prolonged salicylate administration can decrease the risk of SBA in patients with longstanding ileal CD. The latter study also reported that surgery has a very important role in the potential prevention of SBA, thus advocating a role for prophylactic surgery. In agreement with this observation, other authors reported that surgical resection can remove chronic inflamed segments which are highly associated with SBA development in these patients, and should be advocated especially in patients followed up for more than 10 years. SBA is rare and the number of patients to resect to prevent SBA is likely to be high, even in patients with longstanding CD lesions. By analogy with UC, it can be suggested to restrict prophylactic small bowel resection to patients with dysplasia on endoscopic biopsies. This suggested strategy still has to be validated.

Operation for SBA in CD is the same as SBA de novo. Surgery should aim to obtain free resection margins, implying wide resection, and resection of a wedge of mesentery is necessary to capture the draining lymph node basin. Tumors with extensive local disease, metastases to multiple regional/distant lymph nodes and/or the liver/peritoneal surface may be unresectable. For tumors of the jejunum and proximal ileum, wide local excision with lymphadenectomy is the procedure of choice. The number of lymph nodes retrieved has been used as a measure of quality in surgical care of bowel malignancies, but the optimal harvest of nodes for SBA has yet to be defined. Nicholl et al. by applying lymph node recovery as a surrogate for adequate surgical resection, suggested that SBA appears to be surgically undertreated. They proposed a threshold of 10 nodes to define an adequate resection, and found that majority of patients have few nodes resected, even in early-stage disease.

Adequate mesenteric resection is limited by the proximity of the nodes or tumor to the superior mesenteric artery. Pancreatoduodenectomy may be required for tumors in the second or third portion of the duodenum. Segmental resection of duodenal tumors generally correlates with a better overall survival compared with a Whipple procedure although this observation is not confirmed in a series of 37 patients operated on for SBA at the Cleveland Clinic, where increased survival was found in patients who underwent Whipple resection.

Distal ileal lesions may require ileocolic/hemicolectomy to remove all nodes draining the tumor site. Patients who are considered incurable may undergo palliative resections, bypass procedures or double-balloon enteroscopy can be advocated to place self-extendable stents in stenosed bowel lumen with palliative intent.

For metastatic disease and incomplete resection some authors advocated the use of radiotherapy or chemotherapy for SBA, but very few patients receive radiotherapy as part of their primary treatment. Japanese investigators also explored the use of intraoperative radiotherapy, where a single dose of radiation can be given to a tumor bed with residual microscopic or macroscopic disease while shielding nearby organs. 5-Fluorouracil is the most common chemotherapy agent used, either as a single agent or in combination with other agents, including doxorubicin, cisplatin, levamisole, and mitomycin. Average overall survival with metastatic disease is reported to increase from 9–11 months to 17–20 months with less than 5% of patients surviving longer than 2 years in available series.

There are several phase I and II trials ongoing evaluating various radiotherapy and chemotherapy regimens for SBA. Patients affected with SBA in CD should be encouraged to enter future or on-going clinical trials.

2.6. Prognosis

SBA has an aggressive nature, and survival is poor. The reported 5-year survival rates range between 20% and 30%. Lymph node involvement is reported to reduce 5-year survival from 60–70% to 12–14%. Survival rates for SBA complicating CD are reported to be lower compared to de novo SBA, with 30–60% mortality at 2-year follow-up; one series found a 2-year survival of as low as...
as 9%. Nevertheless, Agrawal et al. reported survival up to 10 years for multiple patients initially diagnosed with stage II and III SBAs. The possibility of aggressive surgical care for early-stage SBA should not be dismissed.

3. Ileo-anal pouch and rectal cuff carcinoma

Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis is the preferred surgical management of patients with UC who do not respond to intense medical treatment or who develop cancer or dysplasia. Because of the removal of the whole large bowel, this operation substantially reduces the risk of UC-associated dysplasia/neoplasia, although it does not completely abolish the risk of neoplasia of the pouch or rectal cuff.

In recent years, it has become apparent that the development of cancer in the pouch, although quite rare, is a reality. Various aspects concerning epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and prevention strategies have been investigated. However, the available data are not enough to allow drawing firm conclusions and more work is necessary in order to accomplish this task.

3.1. Epidemiology

3.1.1. Carcinoma

Pouch-related carcinomas have been described with increasing frequency since the first report in 1984 in a number of case-reports and small case-series. During the last two years, three reviews have collected this literature aiming to analyze the clinicoepidemiological features, risk factors and prevention strategies for pouch carcinoma. 

In a recently published review including 12 retrospective series and 15 case-reports of IBD-associated colorectal cancer patients and those undergoing restorative proctocolectomy surgeries from 1984 to 2010, the authors found 43 cases of pouch-related cancers, of which 32 were located in the anal transitional zone (ATZ) and 11 in the pouch body. Most of the cases were adenocarcinomas with some of them being squamous cell carcinomas. Sixteen cases were described in retrospective series, 1 case was from a prospective study, and 26 from case-reports. Thirty patients underwent mucosectomy and 13 had stapled anastomoses.

Alessandroni et al. described 50 reported cases in the international literature. Twenty-five of these cases appeared after mucosectomy and hand-sewn anastomosis and 25 after stapling technique. In 48% of them, dysplasia or cancer was already present at the time of the colectomy.

Ault et al. described a series of five patients who developed adenocarcinoma in the middle of the pouch. Al Sukhni et al. estimated the risk of new cancer or recurrence in the pouch or rectal cuff in patients with UC who had undergone stapled ileal pouch-anal Anastomosis versus mucosectomy with hand-sewn anastomosis. They noticed that performing a stapled ileal pelvic anal anastomosis does not appear to be inferior to mucosectomy and hand-sewn Anastomosis in preventing cancer.

3.1.2. Dysplasia

Development of dysplasia could precede or appear concurrently with carcinoma. A number of descriptions have dealt with the development of dysplasia in the pouch and cuff.

Das et al. noticed that dysplasia in the ileal reservoir is rare and was associated with histological type C changes with an atrophic mucosa, unremitting pouchitis, and the presence of sclerosing cholangitis. They reported 17 adenocarcinomas: 9 in the residual anorectal mucosa, 7 in the reservoir, and 1 of their own. Since the time intervals from the onset of UC and from the restorative proctocolectomy to the development of cancer were 120 to 528 and 16 to 216 months respectively, they proposed that cancer appeared to be related to the duration of UC itself and not to the interval from operation.

It has been suggested that patients with UC and a long-standing ileoanal pouch anastomosis could develop persistent severe mucosal atrophy which is a risk factor for neoplastic transformation of the pouch mucosa. Gullberg et al. studied 7 patients with ileoanal pouch anastomosis and persistent mucosal atrophy (type C) and 14 controls with slight atrophy (type A). Dysplasia was found in 71% (5 out of 7 patients) in the type C group compared to none in the type A group (P < 0.001).

In another study referring to 138 patients who underwent restorative proctocolectomy for UC (n = 118), familial adenomatous polyposis (n = 10), Crohn's colitis (n = 2), and indeterminate colitis (n = 8), dysplasia was found in the colectomy specimens in 22 (18.3%) and invasive cancer in 8 (6.7%) of them. Median interval between proctocolectomy and pouch biopsy was 5.4 years. Indefinite dysplasia was found in only one out of 138 patients. Another study suggested that the development of dysplasia in ileal pouches is probably a rare event within 15–20 years of pouch surgery. In this 10-year study, the authors found only one case of multifocal, low-grade dysplasia (0.9%). Aneuploidy was found in this one and two more patients.

In a group of 276 patients who had undergone restorative proctocolectomy between 1984 and 2009 Banasiewicz et al. found that pouchitis significantly increased the risk of low grade dysplasia (OR 13.48, 1.48–122.86 95% CI, P = 0.021); the time period preceding dysplasia was commonly shorter in patients with pouchitis, but three patients developed low grade dysplasia after 7, 15 and 16 years progressing to high grade dysplasia in the following 6–8 years. This observation points out the importance of long term follow-up, as dysplasia may occur many years after IPAA.

Three more studies also suggested that the risk of the development of dysplasia in the pouch is rather low. Börjesson et al. suggested that the risk of developing either dysplasia or cancer in the ileal pouch mucosa after restorative proctocolectomy for UC is low even after a long follow-up. In their study of 45 patients, who had been operated on with an ileo-anal pouch anastomosis with a time interval from the start of the disease until the end of follow-up of 24.8 years, no high-grade dysplasia or invasive carcinoma was found.

Similar results were described by Veress et al. Among 87 patients who were followed up for at least 6.3 years, low-grade dysplasia occurred in 3 patients with type C response. Finally, Hulten et al. found no case of high-grade dysplasia or invasive carcinoma in their study of 40 patients being previously operated on for UC after a mean follow-up of 30 years. It is of interest that in this study there was full
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agreement between the two groups of pathologists regarding the absence of high-grade dysplasia and invasive carcinoma in the examined biopsies, although a significant disagreement in the frequency of low-grade and indefinite categories of dysplasia was seen.

ATZ dysplasia after ileal pouch-anal anastomosis is infrequent, most common in the first 2–3 years postoperatively, and may apparently disappear on repeated biopsy. O’Riordain et al. followed 210 patients for a median time of 6.4 years and found 7 patients (3%) who developed dysplasia in the ATZ. It is of interest that in 5 out of these 7 patients, dysplasia “disappeared” during further follow-up.

Patients with UC and primary sclerosing cholangitis with an ileal pouch are more prone to develop mucosal atrophy in the pouch (type C changes) and have a higher risk of neoplastic transformation in the pouch mucosa than patients with UC without sclerosing cholangitis. Stahlberg et al. studied 16 patients with UC and primary sclerosing cholangitis and 16 matched patients with UC without sclerosing cholangitis. Moderate or severe atrophy was more common in UC and primary sclerosing cholangitis compared to the control group (8 vs 2 pts). Low-grade dysplasia was found in 3 patients with sclerosing cholangitis and in 2 of the controls.

A large study estimated the risk of the development of dysplasia in the ATZ and the outcome of a conservative management policy for ATZ dysplasia, with at least a 10-year follow-up after ileal pouch-anal anastomosis. One hundred and eight patients undergoing ATZ-sparing ileal pouch-anal anastomosis were submitted to anal transitional zone biopsies for at least ten years postoperatively. In 8 patients ATZ dysplasia posed the risk of dysplasia being significantly associated with preoperative diagnosis of cancer or dysplasia. Dysplasia was of high-grade in two patients, and low-grade in six patients. No association with age, gender, IBD duration and extent of IBD was found.

In conclusion, the available data support the assumption that the development of carcinoma and dysplasia in the pouch and rectal cuff or ATZ of patients with UC undergoing ileo-anal pouch anastomosis is a reality. However, the risk of the appearance of dysplasia seems to be low even many years after the creation of the pouch.

3.2. Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of pouch carcinoma is largely unknown. The most relevant proposed pathway is for the ileal pouch mucosa to go through the adaptational changes, then to proceed through the stages of inflammation (chronic pouchitis), dysplasia (of low and high degree), and subsequently to adenocarcinoma. In many of the available studies, however, the dysplasia–cancer sequence is inconclusive since the carcinoma might have developed from the ileal mucosa itself or from residual rectal mucosa.

In a study aiming to evaluate the prevalence and spectrum of inflammatory changes in the ileum in patients with UC, 200 consecutive patients were evaluated for a variety of pathologic features in the ileum and colon. Overall, 34 out of 200 (17%) UC patients had inflammatory changes in the ileum; 32 of these 34 patients (94%) had pancolitis, which was significantly higher than the rate of pancolitis (39%) in patients without ileal disease (N = 166) (P < 0.001). The presence of inflammatory changes in the ileum had no effect on the prevalence of pouch dysplasia or cancer.

Other pathogenetic mechanisms could be related to the residual diseased mucosa left behind. However, Kariv et al., in a study of 3203 patients who underwent restorative proctocolectomy with ileo-anal pouch anastomosis, found that mucosectomy did not protect against pouch neoplasia. In fact, 38 patients (1.2%) developed pouch neoplasia (11 adenocarcinoma, 1 lymphoma, and 2 squamous cell carcinoma) with cumulative incidence of 1.3% at 10, and 5.1% at 25 years respectively. Only the presence of preoperative neoplasia was associated with an increased risk of pouch neoplasia and thus, the performance of mucosectomy was not protective against the development of carcinoma.

In conclusion, the available data are in favor of the assumption that although most of pouch neoplasia follows the chronic inflammation–dysplasia–cancer sequence, this is not the rule in all cases. Chronic inflammation, severe atrophy and previous diagnosis of cancer or dysplasia in the large bowel are probably involved in the pathogenesis of cancer appearing in the pouch.

3.3. Risk factors

Several risk factors for the development of pouch-related cancer have been considered.

3.3.1. Dysplasia or cancer in the resected colon

These features increase the likelihood of subsequent dysplasia. Most studies reported this finding. Tsunoda et al. reviewed 118 patients with colitis: 2 out of 3 patients with dysplasia were in a subgroup of 8 patients who had a carcinoma in the large bowel. Also Remzi et al. found an association between dysplasia in the ATZ and cancer or dysplasia in the postoperative specimen, concluding that the carcinoma poses the anal mucosa at high risk. The presence of pre-existing dysplasia or cancer seems to be the most important predisposing risk factor for the development of neoplasia after ileo-anal pouch anastomosis, as 54–70% of patients developing pouch-related cancer had a dysplasia or cancer which was identified preoperatively or that was found in the operative specimen.

3.3.2. Interval from the diagnosis of UC

The risk of pouch cancer, as well as that of colorectal cancer in UC, increases with time, probably in a similar fashion and is related to the anatomical extent of the disease in preoperative specimen. Cancer is reported to occur at least 10 years after UC onset. Disease onset is definitively more important than interval from IPAA and is to be considered when considering surveillance.

3.3.3. Pouchitis and mucosal changes

Inflammation and mucosal changes seem to play a role in pouch-related carcinogenesis. As discussed above, patients with unremitting pouchitis and type C atrophic changes have the highest risk of pouch dysplasia.
3.3.4. Biomarkers and immunohistological markers
coull et al.87 followed up for a median of 56 months 110 patients who underwent staples ileo-anal pouch anastomosis for UC by cuff surveillance biopsies under general anesthesia; p53 was performed for each of most recent cuff biopsy. Neither carcinomas developed nor dysplasia or carcinoma was observed in any cuff biopsy during the follow-up period. The authors concluded that p53 is not useful in surveillance of cuff biopsies from patients who have undergone ileo-anal pouch anastomosis for UC. Several markers of inflammation are expressed in ileal pouch, even without the endoscopic evidence of pouchitis.88 Vento et al.89 studied 42 patients with chronic pouchitis by endoscopy with biopsies performed after a median of 8.3 years from ileo-anal pouch anastomosis. No p53, abnormal DNA flow cytometry and dysplasia were observed. The authors concluded that patients undergoing ileo-anal pouch anastomosis for UC should not be intensively followed up with endoscopy during the first 10 years after surgery, on condition that hand-sewn anastomosis with mucosectomy was accurately performed.

3.3.5. Hand-sewn plus mucosectomy versus stapled anastomosis
Mucosectomy does not eliminate the potential for neoplastic transformation. In fact, studies reported cases of adenocarcinoma after ileo-anal pouch anastomosis occurring in patients who underwent mucosectomy.70,90 Residual microscopic mucosal rectal islands have been reported in 20% of patients, so that a cancer can develop between the pouch and the muscle layers. Debate exists as to the benefits of performing mucosectomy in pouch surgery. Tsunoda et al.85 found dysplasia in 3 patients within the ATZ, but 2 out of 3 were in a subgroup of 8 patients who had a carcinoma in the large bowel. Remzi et al.82 studied dysplasia of ATZ performing several biopsies in 289 pouch patients for a minimum follow-up of 10 years. ATZ dysplasia developed in 8 patients 4–123 months after surgery (median 9 months). The risk was associated with a cancer or dysplasia in the postoperative specimen. Four patients with low grade dysplasia treated expectantly showed no subsequent dysplasia. Coull et al.91 studied 136 patients for 56 months and showed no evidence of dysplasia in cuff biopsies. In 113 patients at 2.5 years of mean follow-up Thompson-Fawcett et al.92 found no evidence of neoplastic change in 457 biopsy specimens. Although stapled IPAA seems to achieve similar oncological outcomes similar to that of mucosectomy,71,93 some authors72,89 recommended mucosectomy as a safer alternative. Functional concerns are to be considered too, but results are similar also in terms of function when the surgeon avoids excessive or prolonged dilation of the sphincters during mucosectomy.

3.3.6. Extraintestinal manifestations
Preoperative extraintestinal manifestations seem to be associated with higher risk of developing pouch-related malignancies. Interestingly, patients with extraintestinal manifestations are more likely to develop pouchitis and type C changes of the pouch.94–96 The likelihood of developing pouchitis or pouch dysplasia is higher in UC patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis regardless of the severity of liver disease.81,94

3.4. Surveillance and diagnosis
It early became apparent that restorative proctocolectomy does not completely abolish the risk of neoplasia of the pouch. Moreover, since not all pouch carcinomas follow the chronic inflammation–dysplasia–cancer sequence, the regular pouch endoscopy with biopsy, representing the current gold standard for surveillance, does not seem suitable for all cases. Moreover, because pouch endoscopy with biopsies still misses dysplasia, there is a debate on the optimal need and time interval of routine endoscopic surveillance. Subsequently, many authors suggest that surveillance endoscopy could be applied in patients at risk, such as those with a pre-colectomy diagnosis of UC-associated neoplasia, primary sclerosing cholangitis, type C ileal mucosal changes and unremitting pouchitis.70,78,97 If repeated biopsies confirm persistent dysplasia, mucosectomy with perineal pouch advancement and neo-ileal pouch-anal anastomosis are recommended.82

The optimum time interval for routine surveillance is unknown. Ault et al. suggest that patients with long-standing ileal pouches might benefit from routine surveillance of the pouch as often as every six months, which can be quickly and easily performed in the office using flexible endoscopy.69 Das et al. suggest that a surveillance program should begin 10 years from the onset of the disease by taking multiple biopsies of the ileal reservoir and the anorectal mucosa below the ileo-anal anastomosis.72

However, some authors suggest that routine pouch surveillance with biopsies may not be warranted.74 Um et al. proposed that there is little evidence to support routine biopsy of the ileal mucosa or the ATZ except in patients with predisposing factors.90 Little evidence to support routine biopsy of the ileal mucosa in UC patients was also proposed by both Herline et al.98 and Borjesson et al.77

When performed, 4 quadrant biopsies should be taken from the upper and lower pouches, and a further 4 biopsies targeted at just below the anastomosis, in the ATZ. Anorectal mucosal biopsies should be taken under anesthesia, if necessary, as this area is sensitive. Biopsies should not include staple line, as their features of inflammation could lead to an incorrect diagnosis of pouchitis.

When available, the use of high magnification chromoscopic pouchoscopy is recommended. Hurlstone et al.99 reported that it is a useful predictor of ATZ and cuff anatomy, allowing accurate biopsy targeting. This approach is to be encouraged, as the follow-up of patients with regard to the possible development of dysplasia is likely to be improved, as the technique has demonstrated morphological differences between ATZ, anal columnar epithelium and the pouch mucosa.

In conclusion, there is no general agreement concerning the need for regular surveillance in these patients. However, the majority of reports suggest that regular endoscopic follow-up must be applied in patients at risk, such as those with a pre-colectomy diagnosis of UC-associated neoplasia, primary sclerosing cholangitis, patients with type C ileal mucosal changes, and patients with unremitting pouchitis.

3.5. Survival
There are no data concerning the survival of patients undergoing ileo-anal pouch anastomosis who developed
pouch carcinoma, a fact that could be easily explained since the number of reported cases is quite small for drawing firm conclusions. However, from the case-reports that appeared in the literature it seems that the survival of these patients does not differ from patients with large bowel cancer being developed on the ground of IBD. Nevertheless, although the natural history and prognosis of pouch dysplasia are not clear, the mortality rate associated with pouch cancer, once diagnosed, appears to be high.97

Regarding the influence of the kind of anastomosis on the survival of the operated patients, it seems that stapled ileal-pelvic anal anastomosis is not inferior to mucosectomy and handsewn anastomosis. A retrospective analysis of patients with UC associated with colorectal dysplasia or cancer, who underwent ileal-pouch anal anastomosis either stapled \((n = 59)\) or handsewn \((n = 22)\), revealed that 52 had dysplasia and 29 had colorectal cancer at the time of surgery. During a median follow-up time of 6.3 years, nine patients died (11%). Both colorectal cancer-related deaths were in patients with hand-sewn anastomoses. However, the overall 5-year survival between the two groups did not significantly differ.71 If repeated biopsies confirm persistent dysplasia, an ATZ excision with neo-ileal-pouch anal anastomosis is recommended.80

4. Anal and fistula cancers

4.1. Epidemiology

Anal cancer is rare, with an annual incidence of 2 cases per 100,000\(^{100}\). There does not appear to be an excess risk in patients with IBD. One of the largest case series examining the incidence in patients with IBD followed 9602 patients for a cumulative 99,229 years; 1.3 cases were expected, whereas two were discovered.\(^{101}\)

However, severe complicated perineal CD may increase the risk for anal cancer. Five anal cancers were reported from a cohort of about 1250 patients seen at St Mark’s Hospital, London between 1940 and 1992. All had long-standing severe anorectal disease, with strictures, abscesses, fistulae and proctitis.\(^{102}\) They were followed up for a mean duration of 18 years (22,500 patient years). Less than one patient would be expected to have developed anal cancer, therefore five cases suggest that there may be an association between complex perianal CD and anal malignancy, although this conclusion is confounded by referral bias as St Mark’s Hospital is a tertiary referral center. Others have reported carcinoma associated with anorectal fistula\(^{103-106}\), which is supported by a higher rate of oncogenic HPV serotypes in sporadic anal fistula and fissures. This could facilitate high risk HPV serotype access to the epithelium.\(^{103}\) A Swedish study suggested anal cancer occurs at an earlier age and presents at a more advanced stage in CD patients.\(^{107}\)

4.2. Pathogenesis

Squamous cell cancer accounts for the majority of cases of anal cancer, arising from the transitional or squamous cell mucosa. Adenocarcinoma, melanoma and rarely sarcoma can occur. Anal squamous cell carcinoma is strongly associated with human papilloma virus infection. As with cervical cancer, HPV 16 and HPV 18 are the most frequently associated oncogenic serotypes. Anal HPV infection occurred in 50% of a cohort of sexually active women over one year, with more than half spontaneously clearing the infection within one year.\(^{108}\) About 1/3 of infections are with oncogenic HPV strains. The prevalence and clearance of anal HPV infection are similar in heterosexual men. However, men who have sex with men have more persistent infection, and a higher proportion of oncogenic serotypes, particularly with multiple partners and following receptive anal intercourse.\(^{109}\) Consequently the rate of anal cancer is much higher in men who have sex with men, particularly those with HIV infection where a rate of 131/100,000 person years has recently been reported.\(^{110}\)

In conclusion, the available data indicate that HPV is responsible for the majority of anal cancers in IBD patients, which is a special interest from a preventive point of view.

4.3. Risk factors

The high rate in HIV+ men who have sex with men may represent high risk behavior, however it does appear that chronic immune suppression (CD4 \(< 500 \times 10^6/L\)) predisposes to progression to high grade anal-intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) and anal cancer.\(^{111}\) Lymphoma and squamous cell cancer occur more frequently with immune-suppression following solid organ transplantation. The rate of vulvar and anal cancers has been reported to increase 100-fold following renal transplantation.\(^{112}\) The effect of immune suppression on anal cancer rates in IBD is largely unknown. The CESAME cohort\(^{113}\) did not demonstrate an association between thiopurine use and anal cancer in IBD patients although the crude risk in the subset of patients with perianal CD exposed to thiopurines was 0.42 per 1000 patient years, which is much higher than that expected. The TREAT and the ENCORE registries have not demonstrated an excess of anal cancers in patients treated with infliximab.\(^{113,114}\)

4.4. Diagnosis

Screening for AIN using high resolution anoscopy has been recommended in high risk individuals, such as HIV+ men who have sex with men, women with high grade cervical dysplasia, those known to have AIN or with immune suppression following solid organ transplantation. Treating AIN can prevent its progression. Anal cancer should be suspected in IBD patients with exuberant perianal granulation tissue, persistent ulceration, or anorectal pain or bleeding. The diagnosis is often delayed in IBD, due to similar nonspecific symptoms, with cancer assumed to be a benign stricture.

In conclusion, anoscopy represents the diagnostic tool of choice in daily clinical practice that should be performed if the patient develops suspicious symptoms.

4.5. Treatment

Sporadic anal squamous cell cancer usually can successfully be treated with chemo-radiation, and there is no reason to suspect whether treatment modalities should change in patients with IBD, particularly as treatment of rectal cancer in IBD patients with chemo-radiation has similar outcomes for comparable stages of disease.\(^{115}\) However, surgical
resection may be required due to the additional burden of longstanding complicated perianal CD.\textsuperscript{116,117}

In summary, multimodal treatment of anal cancer in IBD is similar to that in sporadic cancer but at least proctectomy in CD, or total colectomy in UC, may be required depending on disease extent. Survival outcomes seem to be the same as in sporadic non-IBD cancer for the corresponding stage. Overall, the prognosis is often poor due to a delay in diagnosing anal cancer in chronic perianal disease.\textsuperscript{154}

Text Box: Key steps that can be taken to address current knowledge gaps on non-colorectal intestinal tract carcinomas in inflammatory bowel disease

- Establishment of international case–control studies and disease registries to elucidate risk factors and natural history
- Testing of new diagnostic modalities for small bowel adenocarcinoma in Crohn’s disease, such as positron emission tomography and double balloon enteroscopy
- Determination of optimum surveillance method and schedule in patients with ileo-anal pouch anastomosis
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