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Abstract: Solar cells on lightweight and flexible substrates have advantages over glass- or wafer-based photovoltaic devices in both terrestrial and space applications. Here, we report on development of amorphous silicon thin film photovoltaic modules fabricated at maximum deposition temperature of 150 °C on 100 μm thick polyethylene-naphthalate plastic films. Each module of 10 cm × 10 cm area consists of 72 a-Si:H n-i-p rectangular structures with transparent conducting oxide top electrodes with Al fingers and metal back electrodes deposited through the shadow masks. Individual structures are connected in series forming eight rows with connection ports provided for external blocking diodes. The design optimization and device performance analysis are performed using a developed SPICE model.


Résumé : Les cellules solaires sur support léger et flexible ont des avantages sur les dispositifs photovoltaïques basés sur substrats de verre ou autre solide, et ce pour des utilisation terrestres et spatiales. Nous présentons ici le développement de modules photovoltaïques en films minces de silicium amorphe, fabriqués à une température maximale de dépôt de 150 °C sur des films de plastique PEN de 100 μm d’épaisseur. Chaque module de 10 cm × 10 cm de surface contient 72 structures rectangulaires de a-Si : H n-i-p, avec des électrodes supérieures de TCO avec doigts d’Al et des électrodes arrières métalliques déposées par masque d’ombre. Les structures individuelles sont connectées en série pour former huit rangées avec ports de connexion destinés aux diodes de blocage externes. Le design et les performances du dispositif sont analysés et évalués en utilisant un modèle SPICE. [Traduit par la Rédaction]

1. Introduction

Flexible hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) solar cells on thin plastic substrates are of great interest for a wide variety of engineering applications [1]. Flexible devices can be installed on curved surfaces, they are less likely to be damaged by mechanical friction and vibrations, and are easier to install. These advantages could make it possible for mobile devices and various electric appliances to cover part of their power demand from solar energy. Even an integration of photovoltaics in clothes becomes a reality [2]. Also lightweight photovoltaic (PV) modules on plastic foils are attractive for aerospace applications because of higher power-to-weight ratio in comparison to common GaAs-based PV devices [3].

Two approaches are used to fabricate flexible a-Si:H PV modules. The first is the use of nontransparent substrates, such as metallic foils or heat-resisting plastic films to enable a thin-film deposition in a temperature regime of 220–300 °C, which is considered optimal for a-Si:H [4]. The second approach is the use of low-cost polyethylene-naphthalate or polyethylene-teraphthalate substrates that require process temperatures not exceeding 150 °C [5–7]. In this case, the device performance is lower because of deteriorating electronic properties of a-Si:H. To date, the highest stable efficiency of 8.7% was reported for single junction a-Si:H cells on a textured plastic substrate [8].

This paper reports on a monolithic a-Si:H-based photovoltaic module utilizing 100 μm thick polyethylene-naphthalate film as a substrate. Detailed description of the device design and fabrication steps is the starting point of this work. We present and discuss a two-dimensional model of the solar cell. This follows with the performance analysis of the PV module. Finally results of the study are summarized in the conclusion part.

2. PV module design and fabrication

Figure 1a shows a photograph of the developed PV module. The module of 10 cm × 10 cm area consists of 72 rectangular cells. The individual cells are connected in series forming eight rows with connection pads. Figure 1b shows the electrical circuit diagram, where the sections of 18 cells are connected in parallel using external blocking diodes. The cell design and its interconnections are illustrated in Figs. 1c and 1d. The cell is an a-Si:H n-i-p structure with the Al/Cr back and ZnO:Al top electrodes. Two 0.3 mm wide Al fingers are symmetrically placed on the ZnO:Al electrode to reduce the emitter resistance. The photosensitive area of the cell is 0.8 cm².

We have developed a fabrication process, where three shadow masks are used in sputtering steps to form the bottom and top electrodes and the top metallization. The device fabrication starts with plastic foils cleaned in an isopropanol bath for about 1 min. After drying, the backside encapsulation and front buffer SiO₂ N₂ layers are deposited in the single-chamber plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) system at the substrate tem-
temperature of \(-140 \, ^\circ\text{C}\). Then, the substrate in conjunction with shadow mask 1 is attached to the carrier followed by loading in the sputtering system. After reaching a base pressure below \(2 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{Torr}\), 200 nm of Al is sputtered in Ar plasma at a process pressure of 5 mTorr. Then, a thin (~20 nm) Cr buffer layer is deposited under similar process conditions.

The n-i-p stack deposition is performed using a multichamber 13.56 MHz PECVD system, manufactured by MVSystems Inc. To prevent cross contamination here, the doped and undoped layers are deposited in different chambers in the single-pump-down process. The 25 nm thick n-layer, 300 nm thick i-layer, and 15 nm thick p-layer are deposited using SiH\(_4\) + H\(_2\) + PH\(_3\), SiH\(_4\) + H\(_2\), and SiH\(_4\) + H\(_2\) + CH\(_4\) + B\(_2\)H\(_6\) gas mixtures, respectively. Here, hydrogen dilution ratio, \([\text{H}_2]/(\text{[H}_2] + \text{[SiH}_4]) \times 100\%\), is 75\%. Doping gas flow ratios are \([\text{PH}_3]/(\text{[SiH}_4]) = 1\%\), \([\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]/(\text{[SiH}_4]) = 1\%\), and \([\text{CH}_4]/(\text{[SiH}_4]) = 67\%\). The deposition is performed at 600 mTorr pressure and 22 mW/cm\(^2\) radio frequency power density. The substrate temperature is about 150 \(^\circ\text{C}\).

The formation of ZnO:Al top electrodes is performed by sputtering through shadow mask 2 in the sputtering chamber of the PECVD system. The 170 nm thick ZnO:Al layer is deposited in Ar plasma at 4 mTorr pressure, 80 W radio frequency power, and 150 \(^\circ\text{C}\) substrate temperature. The sheet resistance of the film is about 60 \(\Omega/\text{sq}\).

To perform via opening, the a-Si:H NIP stack is selectively etched through shadow mask 3 in the reactive ion etching system. Dry etching is performed in SF\(_6\) plasma at a process pressure of 60 mTorr, a gas flow of 15 sccm, and an radio frequency power of 50 W. An etching time is typically 90 s. After the dry etch step, the 150 nm thick Al layer is sputtered through shadow mask 3 under the same experimental conditions as that for the bottom metallization.

An outgoing inspection of the PV module includes the visual inspection using an optical microscope, and electrical characterization that included the measurements of current–voltage (\(I-V\)) characteristics under AM1.5 illumination conditions for each section of 18 cells. \(I-V\) curves were analyzed to deduce the solar cell characteristics.

3. Device modelling and characterization

To optimize the device design, two-dimensional simulation was performed. Figure 2a illustrates the proposed electrical model, where the individual cell is divided into equidimensional rectangular subcells with a node at the center of each sub-cell. An
equivalent circuit of the sub-cell includes a diode, a current source representing current generation in the n-i-p structure, a resistor, $R_p$, including both the resistance of the p-layer and contact resistance of the p/ZnO:Al interface, and resistors $R_x$ and $R_y$ representing the top metallization and (or) transparent electrode, respectively. Note that the sheet resistance of the bottom metal is at least two orders of magnitude lower than that of the ZnO:Al layer, and this series resistance component is not taken into account. Nodes at the front edge of the Al grid are defined as the current sink in this model with the boundary condition being the applied bias. We used HSPICE simulator to perform calculations, while MATLAB was used to form the input file, to readout data from the output file, and to visualize the results. Input parameters include the dimensions of the cell, coordinates of top metal islands, sheet resistances of the TCO and top metal layers, net series resistance, $R_s$, and parameters of the diode and current source elements. In particular, the diode forward current is

$$I = J_o L_x L_y \left[ \frac{qV}{nkT} \right] - 1 \tag{1}$$

where $J_o$ is the saturation current density, $L_x$ and $L_y$ are the subcell dimensions in the direction X or Y, $q$ is the elementary charge, $n$ is the photodiode ideality factor, $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $T$ is the temperature. The output of the current source is

$$I_{ph} = J_{ph} L_x L_y \tag{2}$$

where $I_{ph}$ is the photocurrent density. For p-i-n cells, the $J_{ph}$ is a bias voltage dependent function [9]

$$J_{ph} = J_{ph,0} \left[ \frac{V - V_{bi}}{V_{bi}} \right] \left( 1 - \exp \left( \frac{V_{bi}}{V - V_{bi}} \right) \right) \tag{3a}$$

$$V_{bi} = \frac{d_i^2}{\mu \tau} \tag{3b}$$

where $J_{ph,0}$ is the photocurrent, $V_{bi}$ the built-in voltage, $d_i$ the i-layer thickness, and $\mu \tau$ the mobility–lifetime product also known as the range of the carriers (i.e., distance drifted before capture per unit field). Parameters $J_o$, $n$, $R_s$, $V_{bi}$, $V_a$, and $J_{ph,0}$ were deduced from I-V characteristics of individual cells in the dark and under illumination.

Figure 2b shows simulated potential distribution across the emitter at the bias voltage of 0.71 V. Input parameters used for simulation are $J_o = 10^{-12}$ A/cm², $n = 1.5$, $V_{bi} = 1.1$ V, $V_a = 0.1$ V, $R_s = 1.5$ Ωcm², and $J_{ph,0} = 9$ mA/cm². Here, the voltage drop across the Al finger is 10 V and voltage variation across the transparent electrode is up to 50 mV at 0.3 Ωsq and 180 Ωsq sheet resistances of the respective layers. Joule losses in the Al finger and ZnO:Al electrode are 22 and 61 $\mu$W, respectively.

The thickness of the transparent electrode is optimized later to minimize the optical and electrical losses. Reflection minimum of TCO coating at a targeting wavelength, $\lambda_o$, is at discrete values of film thicknesses

$$d_{TCO} = \frac{\lambda_o (2m + 1)}{4n_r} \tag{4}$$

where $n_r$ is the refractive index at $\lambda_o$, and $m = 0, 1, ...$ We have performed the device simulation at ZnO:Al thicknesses that correspond to the first four reflection minima at $\lambda_o = 460$ nm. To take into account the absorption loss within the transparent electrode, $J_{ph,0}$ is calculated for the given film thicknesses using the absorption coefficient of ZnO:Al measured in the visible to near-infrared spectral range. Figure 3 shows the calculated Joule losses in the Al and ZnO:Al top layers, conversion efficiency, $E_{em}$, and fill factor, FF, versus the transparent electrode thickness. The increase in the ZnO:Al layer thickness leads to the reduction of Joule losses in the emitter and to FF enhancement due to the decreasing series resistance. However, the conversion efficiency reaches a peak value at a thickness of $3\lambda_o/4n_r$, then it decreases because of increasing absorption loss. Based on this modeling result, the thickness of ZnO:Al in our device is 180 nm.

---
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We have also analyzed the impact of the metal grid on the output characteristics. Figure 4 shows the $E_{ff}$ and FF along with Joule losses in the emitter as a function of the Al-layer thickness. Apparently the metal grid resistance must be lower than the resistance of the transparent electrode to minimize the Joule losses. For the optimized ZnO:Al electrode with 60 $\Omega$/sq sheet resistance, the metal sheet resistance has to be below 0.4 $\Omega$/sq. The 150 nm thick Al-layer with $\rho_{Al}$ = 0.25 $\Omega$/sq is chosen for our devices as a tradeoff between the sputtering time and device performance.

4. Conclusion

We have fabricated monolithic a-Si:H PV modules on the 100 $\mu$m thick polyethylene-naphtalate substrate using a 150 °C deposition process for a-Si:H films. To optimize the device design, two-dimensional simulation was performed. The proposed SPICE model takes into account the performance characteristics of the n-i-p structure and distributed resistances of the transparent electrode and top metal grid. The results suggest that the three-quarter wavelength thickness is optimal for the ZnO:Al electrode, while 150 nm thick Al is sufficient for the metal grid. The performance characteristics of the PV module are below the simulation results because of the presence of individual cells with shunts.
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Figure 5 shows a typical $I$–$V$ curve of the module section composed of 18 cells under AM1.5 illumination conditions. The open circuit voltage and fill factor here are lower than they are supposed to be because of resistive shunts in some cells in the module (about 15% of all cells for 0.8 cm$^2$ cell size in our laboratory).